CIS 421/521: ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Search Problems





Problem Solving Agents & Problem Formulation

AIMA 3.1-3.3



Reflex Agents

- A simple reflex agent is one that selects an action based only on the current percept.
- It ignores the rest of the percept history.



Problem-Solving Agent

- A problem-solving agent must **plan ahead**.
- The computational process that it undertakes is called **search**.
- It will consider a sequence of actions that form a path to a goal state.
- Such a sequence is called a solution.



Ο

Impact of Task Environments

- The properties of the task environments change the types of solutions that we need.
- \circ If an environment is:
- Fully observable
- Deterministic
- Known environment
- The solution to any problem in such an environment is a fixed sequence of actions.
- \circ In environments that are
- Partially observable or
- Nondeterministic
- The solution must recommend different future actions depending on the what percepts it receives. This could be in the form of a *branching strategy*.

Example search problem: 8-puzzle

- Formulate *goal*
 - Pieces to end up in order as shown...







Start State

Goal State

- Formulate *search problem*
 - States: configurations of the puzzle (9! configurations)
 - *Actions*: Move one of the movable pieces (≤4 possible)
 - *Performance measure*: minimize total moves
- Find *solution*
 - Sequence of pieces moved: 3,1,6,3,1,...

Example search problem: Holiday in Romania



Renn Engineering

Holiday in Romania

- o On holiday in Romania; currently in Arad
 - Flight leaves tomorrow from Bucharest
- Formulate *goal*
 - Be in Bucharest
- Formulate *search problem*
 - States: various cities
 - Actions: drive between cities
 - Performance measure: minimize travel time / distance
- Find *solution*
 - Sequence of cities; e.g. Arad, Sibiu, Fagaras, Bucharest, ...

More formally, a problem is defined by:

- *1. States*: a set *S*
- 2. An initial state $s_i \in S$
- 3. Actions: a set A

 $\forall s Actions(s) = the set of actions that can be executed in s, that are applicable in s.$

- 4. Transition Model: $\forall s \forall a \in Actions(s) Result(s, a) \rightarrow s_r$ s_r is called a successor of s $\{s_i\} \cup Successors(s_i)^* = state space$
- 5. Path cost (Performance Measure): Must be additive e.g. sum of distances, number of actions executed, ... c(x,a,y) is the step cost, assumed ≥ 0

• (where action a goes from state x to state y)

6. Goal test: Goal(s)

Can be implicit, e.g. *checkmate(s) s* is a *goal state* if *Goal(s)* is *true*

Vacuum World

- States: A state of the world says which objects are in which cells.
- In a simple two cell version, the agent can be in either cell each cell can have dirt or not
- 2 cells * 2 positions for agent *
 2 possibilities for dirt = 8 states.
- With *n* cells, there are n^*2^n states.









😽 Penn Engineering



\circ Actions:

- Suck
- Move Left
- Move Right
- (Move Up)
- (Move Down)

Transition:

Suck – removes dirt

Move – moves in that direction, unless agent hits a wall, in which case it stays put.

Action Cost: Each action costs 1

Solutions & Optimal Solutions

- A solution is a sequence of actions from the initial state to a goal state.
- Optimal Solution: A solution is optimal if no solution has a lower path cost.

Art: Formulating a Search Problem

Decide:

- $_{\circ}$ Which properties matter & how to represent
 - Initial State, Goal State, Possible Intermediate States
- $_{\circ}$ Which actions are possible & how to represent
 - Operator Set: Actions and Transition Model
- $_{\circ}$ $\,$ Which action is next
 - Path Cost Function
- Formulation greatly affects combinatorics of search space and therefore speed of search

Example: 8-puzzle





Start State

Goal State

States? Initial state? Actions? Transition Model? Goal test? Path cost?

Example: 8-puzzle





Start State

Goal State

• States?

List of 9 locations- e.g., [7,2,4,5,-,6,8,3,1]

- Initial state? [7,2,4,5,-,6,8,3,1]
- O Actions? {Left, Right, Up, Down}
- Transition Model? ...
- O Goal test? Check if goal configuration is reached
- Path cost? Number of actions to reach goal

Hard subtask: Selecting a state space

Real world is absurdly complex
 State space must be *abstracted* for problem solving

What are the states for the Romanian Navigation problem?

- (abstract) *State* = set (equivalence class) of real-world states
- (abstract) Action = equivalence class of combinations of real-world actions
 - e.g. Arad \rightarrow Zerind represents a complex set of possible routes, detours, rest stops, etc
 - The abstraction is valid if the path between two states is reflected in the real world
- Each abstract action should be "easier" than the real problem

Useful Concepts

Unreachable states in Romania? Tile Puzzles?

- State space: the set of all states reachable from the initial state of any sequence of actions
 - When several operators can apply to each state, this get flarge very quickly
 - *Might be a proper subset of the set of configurations*
- *Path*: a sequence of actions leading from one state s_i to another state s_k
- Frontier: those states that are available for expanding (for applying legal actions to)
- Solution: a path from the initial state s_i to a state s_f that satisfies the goal test

Basic search algorithms: Tree Search

- Generalized algorithm to solve search problems
- Enumerate in some order all possible paths from the initial state
 - Here: search through *explicit tree generation*
 - ROOT= initial state.
 - Nodes in search tree generated through *transition model*
 - Tree search treats different paths to the same node as distinct

Generalized tree search



function TREE-SEARCH(*problem*, *strategy*) return a solution or failure Initialize frontier to the *initial state* of the *problem*

do

if the frontier is empty then return *failure*

choose leaf node for expansion according to strategy & remove from frontier

if node contains goal state then return *solution*

else expand the node and add resulting nodes to the frontier

The strategy determines search process!

8-Puzzle: States and Nodes

- A *state* is a (representation of a) *physical configuration*
- A node is a data structure constituting part of a search tree
- Also includes parent, children, depth, path cost g(x)
 Here node= <state, parent-node, children, action, path-cost, depth> States do not have parents, children, depth or path cost! 0





children

- The EXPAND function \cap
 - uses the Actions and Transition Model to create the corresponding states
 - creates new nodes,
 - fills in the various fields

8-Puzzle Search Tree



Renn Engineering

Problem: Repeated states

Failure to detect *repeated states* can turn a linear problem into an *exponential* one!



Solution: Graph Search! $s \rightarrow c \rightarrow c \rightarrow c \rightarrow s \rightarrow c \rightarrow s \rightarrow c \rightarrow s$ State Space

Graph search

Search Tree

Note the distinction between "node" and "state."

- Simple Mod from tree search: Check to see if a node has been visited before adding to search queue
 - must keep track of all possible states (can use a lot of memory)
 - e.g., 8-puzzle problem, we have 9!/2 ≈182K states

Graph Search vs Tree Search

function TREE-SEARCH(*problem*) **returns** a solution, or failure initialize the frontier using the initial state of *problem*

loop do

if the frontier is empty then return failure

choose a leaf node and remove it from the frontier

if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution

expand the chosen node, adding the resulting nodes to the frontier

function GRAPH-SEARCH(*problem*) **returns** a solution, or failure initialize the frontier using the initial state of *problem*

initialize the explored set to be empty

loop do

if the frontier is empty then return failure

choose a leaf node and remove it from the frontier

if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution

add the node to the explored set

expand the chosen node, adding the resulting nodes to the frontier

only if not in the frontier or explored set

Figure 3.7 An informal description of the general tree-search and graph-search algorithms. The parts of GRAPH-SEARCH marked in bold italic are the additions needed to handle repeated states.

Uninformed Search Strategies

AIMA 3.3-3.4



Uninformed search strategies:

- AKA "Blind search"
- Uses only information available in problem definition

• Informally:

- Uninformed search: All non-goal nodes in frontier look equally good
- Informed search: Some non-goal nodes can be ranked above others.

Quick Check: Uninformed vs. Informed Search in Romania?

Search Strategies

- *Review: Strategy* = order of tree expansion
 - Implemented by different queue structures (LIFO, FIFO, priority)
- $_{\circ}$ Dimensions for evaluation
 - *Completeness-* always find the solution?
 - Optimality finds a least cost solution (lowest path cost) first?
 - Time complexity # of nodes generated (worst case)
 - Space complexity # of nodes simultaneously in memory (worst case)
- Time/space complexity variables
 - *b, maximum branching factor* of search tree
 - *d, depth* of the shallowest goal node
 - *m*, maximum length of any path in the state space (potentially ∞)

Introduction to *space* complexity

- You know about:
 - "Big O" notation
 - Time complexity
- *Space complexity* is analogous to time complexity
- Units of space are arbitrary
 - Doesn't matter because Big O notation ignores constant multiplicative factors
 - Plausible Space units:
 - One Memory word
 - Size of any fixed size data structure
 - For example, size of fixed size node in search tree

Review: Breadth-first search

- $_{\circ}$ Idea:
 - Expand *shallowest* unexpanded node

• Implementation:

- frontier is FIFO (First-In-First-Out) Queue:
 - Put successors at the *end* of *frontier* successor list.

ě3

0

Image credit: Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel http://ai.berkeley.edu

Breadth-first search (simplified)

function BREADTH-FIRST-SEARCH(*problem*) returns a solution, or failure

The pop is how we define the strategy.

 $node \leftarrow a \text{ node with } STATE = problem.INITIAL-STATE, PATH-COST = 0$ **if** *problem*.**G**OAL-TEST(*node*.**S**TATE) **then return S**OLUTION(*node*) *frontier* \leftarrow a FIFO queue with *node* as the only element *explored* \leftarrow an empty set loop do if EMPTY?(frontier) then return failure $node \leftarrow POP(frontier)$ /* chooses the shallowest node in frontier add *node*.STATE to *explored* for each *action* in *problem*.ACTIONS(*node*.STATE) do $child \leftarrow CHILD-NODE(problem, node, action)$ if child.STATE is not in explored or frontier then if problem.GOAL-TEST(child.STATE) then return SOLUTION(child) $frontier \leftarrow \text{INSERT}(child, frontier)$

Subtle: we goal-test before we add to frontier.

Properties of breadth-first search

- <u>Complete?</u> Yes (if *b* is finite)
- <u>Time Complexity</u>? $1+b+b^2+b^3+...+b^d = O(b^d)$
- <u>Space Complexity?</u> *O*(*b^d*) (keeps every node in memory)
- <u>Optimal?</u> Yes, if cost = 1 per step (not optimal in general)

Is this the only way to have BFS be optimal?

b: maximum branching factor of search tree
d: depth of the least cost solution
m: maximum depth of the state space (∞)

Exponential Space (and time) Not Good...

- Exponential complexity uninformed search problems *cannot* be solved for any but the smallest instances.
- *(Memory* requirements are a bigger problem than *execution* time.)

DEPTH	NODES	TIME	MEMORY
2	110	0.11 milliseconds	107 kilobytes
4	11110	11 milliseconds	10.6 megabytes
6	106	1.1 seconds	1 gigabytes
8	10 ⁸	2 minutes	103 gigabytes
10	10 ¹⁰	3 hours	10 terabytes
12	10 ¹²	13 days	1 petabytes
14	10 ¹⁴	3.5 years	99 petabytles

Assumes b=10, 1M nodes/sec, 1000 bytes/node



Review: Depth-first search

 $_{\circ}$ Idea:

Renn Engineering

• Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

• Implementation:

- *frontier* is LIFO (Last-In-First-Out) Queue:
 - Put successors at the *front* of *frontier* successor list.



Properties of depth-first search

- <u>Complete?</u> No: fails in infinite-depth spaces, spaces with loops
 - Modify to avoid repeated states along path
 → complete in finite spaces
- <u>Time?</u> $O(b^m)$: terrible if *m* is much larger than *d*
 - but if solutions are dense, may be much faster than breadth-first
- <u>Space?</u> *O(b*m),* i.e., linear space!
- Optimal? No

b: maximum branching factor of search tree
d: depth of the least cost solution
m: maximum depth of the state space (∞)

Depth-first vs Breadth-first

$_{\circ}$ Use depth-first if

- Space is restricted
- There are many possible solutions with long paths and wrong paths are usually terminated quickly
- Search can be fine-tuned quickly

$_{\circ}$ Use breadth-first if

- Possible infinite paths
- Some solutions have short paths
- Can quickly discard unlikely paths

Search Conundrum

- Breadth-first
 - ☑ Complete,

 - ✓ Optimal
 ▶ but uses O(b^d) space
- Depth-first
 - Not complete *unless m is bounded*
 - 🗵 Not optimal
 - **I** Uses $O(b^m)$ time; terrible if m >> d
 - ✓ *but* only uses O(**b***m) space

How can we get the best of both?

Depth-limited search: A building block

- Depth-First search *but with depth limit (*.
 - i.e. nodes at depth *l* have no successors.
 - No infinite-path problem!
- If l = d (by luck!), then optimal
 - But:
 - If l < d then incomplete \mathfrak{S}
 - If l > d then not optimal \bigotimes
- o Time complexity: O(b^l)
 o Space complexity: O(bl) ☺

Iterative deepening search

- A general strategy to find best depth limit *l*.
 - Key idea: use *Depth-limited search* as subroutine, with increasing *l*.

```
For l = 0 to ∞ do
    depth-limited-search to level l
    if it succeeds
        then return solution
```

 Complete & optimal: Goal is always found at depth d, the depth of the shallowest goal-node.

Could this possibly be efficient?

Nodes constructed at each deepening

- Depth 0: 0 (Given the node, doesn't *construct* it.)
- Depth 1: b¹ nodes



Depth 2: b nodes + b² nodes



...

 \circ Depth 3: b nodes + b² nodes + b³ nodes



Ο

Total nodes constructed:

- Depth 0: 0 (Given the node, doesn't *construct* it.)
- Depth 1: $b^1 = b$ nodes
- \circ Depth 2: b nodes + b² nodes
- Depth 3: b nodes + b² nodes + b³ nodes

```
• Suppose the first solution is the last node at depth 3:
```

- Total nodes constructed:
- \bigcirc **3***b nodes + **2***b² nodes + **1***b³ nodes

. . .

ID search, Evaluation: Time Complexity

- More generally, the time complexity is
 - $(d)b + (d-1)b^2 + ... + (1)b^d = O(b^d)$

As efficient in terms of O(...) as Breadth First Search:
b + b² + ... + b^d = O(b^d)



ID search, Evaluation

Complete: YES (no infinite paths)

()

- Time complexity: $O(b^d)$
- Space complexity: O(bd) \bigcirc
- Optimal: YES if step cost is 1. ☺

Summary of algorithms

Criterion	Breadth- First	Depth- First	Depth- limited	Iterative deepening
Complete?	YES	NO	NO	YES
Time	b^d	b^m	b^l	b^d
Space	b ^d	bm	bl	bd
Optimal?	YES	NO	NO	YES

Next Up: Informed Search

AIMA 3.5-3.6



Informed Search

- An informed search strategy uses domain-specific information about the location of the goals in order to find a solution more efficiently than uninformed search.
- Hints will come as part of a heuristic function denoted h(n).
- One of the most famous informed search algorithms is A* which was developed for robot navigation.

Shakey the robot was developed at the Stanford Research Institute from 1966 to 1972.

